# MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

**Issue date: 22/12/2022**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Meeting number | **CCAG013** |  | Venue | **Virtual – MS Teams** |
| Date and time | **21 December 2022 10:00-12:00** |  | Classification | **Public** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Actions |  |
| **Area** | **Ref** | **Action** | **Owner** | **Due**  |
| **Minutes and actions** | CCAG13-01 | Review the timing of the dependency on qualification and SAD processes to determine if the dependency could be brought forward | Programme (Andrew Margan, Jason Brogden) | 25/01/23 |
| **Horizon scanning** | CCAG13-02 | Review the risks IDs for each Horizon Scanning entry to ensure there is a clear link/cross-reference between horizon scanning items and RAID log entries | Programme (Jason Brogden, Matt McKeon) | 25/01/23 |
| **CR012 outcome** | CCAG13-03 | Present the list of consequential change items to be included in code drafting and the rationale for including them to the January CCAG | Programme, Code Bodies | 25/01/23 |
| **Round 3 replan**  | CCAG13-04 | Discuss BSC PAF and consequential changes timelines and alignment to the code draft plan | Programme (Andrew Margan, Jason Brogden, Beca Fox), Elexon Representative (Laurence Jones) | 25/01/23 |
| CCAG13-05 | Share CUSC consequential changes to be included in the consequential change list | NGESO representative (Neil Dewar) | 25/01/23 |
| CCAG13-06 | Present an updated version of the design artefact mapping document at January CCAG (to show how design artefacts map to code drafting topic areas) | Sarah Jones, Matt McKeon | 25/01/23 |
| **Decisions** |  |
| **Area** | **Ref** | **Decision** |
| **Minutes**  | CCAG-DEC24 | The amended minutes of the October CCAG were approved. The amended Headline Report and amended minutes of the November CCAG were approved. |
| **RAID Items Discussed** |
| **RAID area** | **Description** |
| **Horizon Scanning** | The Programme will review cross-references between the Horizon Scanning log and the RAID log (action CCAG13-02) |
| **Key Discussion items** |
| **Area** | **Discussion** |
| **Minutes and actions** | The Supplier Agent representative queried how the content of CR012 may impact the start of qualification. The Programme explained that a dependency had been added between code drafting and the start of qualification and that they were confident there was sufficient time to deliver pre-qualification activities in the programme plan (such as SAD processes). The Independent Supplier Agent representative queried if this dependency could be brought forward, to align with the qualification code drafting topic area. This could help shorten timelines in the plan. The Programme agreed to explore this (**action CCAG13-01**). |
| **Horizon Scanning log** | Code Bodies provided updates against their Horizon Scanning items as per the slides. The following additional notable comments were raised:* **DCUSA:** CCAG members queried the timeline for implementing the DCUSA changes and asked if Change Requests (CRs) to the Programme would be required. The DCUSA representative clarified that the aim was to implement the modifications in time for Systems Integration Testing (SIT) and that CRs may be required, should there be an impact on the Data Integration Platform (DIP) from the mod solutions.
* **BSC:** TheElexon representative explained that P432 was with Ofgem for decision (likely in February), P434 was approved by Ofgem and implemented on 14 December (this modification would change obligations for existing and new MPANs and was aligned to M11). Two data items had also been approved for the June 2023 release. P441 had discussions ongoing to determine a solution and implementation date.
* **CUSC:** The NGESO representative noted consultation had closed for modification 401 at the start of December. This would now progress through CUSC panel before going to Ofgem for decision in January and implementation in April. This modification may result in consequential changes to be considered in code drafting.

The Independent Supplier Agent representative noted that the risk references in the Horizon Scanning log were useful and that the cross-references between Horizon Scanning items and the RAID log could be clearer (**action CCAG13-02**). |
| **CR012 outcome** | The Programme explained that CR012 had been approved by the Programme Steering Group (PSG) and that the Programme were now actioning the required next steps. The Supplier Agent representative queried if Code Bodies would be able to deliver their consequential change solution designs by August 2023 (the current timeframe), ahead of the consequential change code drafting topic. The Programme noted there were risks but believed the delivery timelines were achievable. The BSC representative stated they would not be able to deliver their consequential drafting by August 2023. Several CCAG members queried the content of the list of consequential change items that would be brought within code drafting, highlighting concerns about the process for items may be added to the list. CCAG members were concerned that broader consequential changes could look to be brought into the Programme using this list as a ‘back door’. The Programme confirmed that content on the list was being triaged thoroughly and they were confident that the content of the list was appropriate. The consequential change list will be presented at January CCAG (**action CCAG13-03**). |
| **Round 3 Replan Consultation** | The Programme provided an overview of the code drafting plan that had been released in Round 3 of consultation on the Programme plan. The Programme explained how this had changed since last shared with CCAG. The Elexon representative noted that their performance assurance consequential changes would not be ready as per the timelines in the plan and that this would be shared in their replan consultation response. There was some concern over this from the Programme and other Code Bodies. A follow up conversation will be held (**action CCAG13-04**). The Independent Supplier Agent representative noted concern about the deliverability of the plan with code drafting due to start in January 2023. The representative queried if resource was allocated. The Programme confirmed resource was in place and all was on track to start code drafting in January.The NGESO representative noted possible CUSC consequential changes to include in the plan (**action CCAG13-05**).Several CCAG members queried if there was a document mapping the design artefacts to the code drafting topic areas in the plan. The Programme and Code Bodies confirmed that a mapping document was in progress and had been previously shared and presented to the Code Draft Working Group (CDWG). An updated version of this will be presented to January CCAG (**action CCAG13-06**). |
| **Prototyping update** | The RECCo representative provided an overview of Sprint 2 of prototyping activity. This had included a detailed left-to-right and right-to-left mapping of design artefacts to code documents. This traceability matrix would be used throughout code drafting to ensure all of the design artefacts had been translated into code. The RECCo representative explained that work had also been completed to define principles and definitions for common terms used by the Programme. |
| **CCAG reporting** | The Programme provided an overview of a new report that would be shared with CCAG each month. The report provided a status update on activity this month, activity next month, RAID items, and progress against key milestones and dates in the code draft plan. |
| **CDWG update** | The Programme provided an update on agenda items and discussion from the December CDWG. The Programme provided an overview of the forward plan for the CDWG. |

 **Date of next meeting: 25 January 2023**